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# Lecture Evaluation

## 1.1 Please rate the lecture’s concept.

### 1.1.1 How often did you attend the lecture?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard-Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.1.2 Did the lecture appear to be clearly structured to you?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard-Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.1.3 Have topics been illustrated by sensible examples?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard-Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.1.4 Were the slides/lecture notes helpful?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Very helpful</th>
<th>Not helpful</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard-Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 1.1.5 Have the topics been explained extensively enough?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Always</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard-Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# Lecturer Evaluation

## 2.1 Please rate Dominik Alexander Klein.

### 2.1.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Everything</th>
<th>Nothing</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard-Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.1.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

Always – Never
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

2.1.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?

Always – Never
Answers: 2
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

2.1.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?

Very well – Not at all
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

2.1.5 The speed of proceeding was...

Too fast – Too slow
Answers: 4
Mean: 2.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

2.2 Please rate Dr. Dirk Schulz.

2.2.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?

Everything – Nothing
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

2.2.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?

Always – Never
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.9
2.2.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?
Always – Never
Answers: 2
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

2.2.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?
Very well – Not at all
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

2.2.5 The speed of proceeding was...
Too fast – Too slow
Answers: 4
Mean: 3.2
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

2.3 Please rate PD Dr. Volker Steinhage.
2.3.1 How much of the content do you understand during the lecture?
Everything – Nothing
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.2
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

2.3.2 Did the lecturer answer your questions profoundly?
Always – Never
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.2
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

2.3.3 Was the lecturer available for questions outside of the lecture?
Always – Never
Answers: 2
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0
2.3.4 Could you understand the lecturer acoustically?
Very well – Not at all
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

2.3.5 The speed of proceeding was...
Too fast – Too slow
Answers: 4
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

3 Module Evaluation
3.1 Please rate the module as a whole.
3.1.1 Did the course teach you helpful knowledge and abilities that will be useful in later work life?
Much – Nothing
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

3.1.2 Do the obligatory course achievements support successful completion of the module?
Yes – No
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

3.1.3 Do you think the obligatory course achievements are adequate?
Yes – No
Answers: 4
Mean: 2.2
Standard-Deviation: 1.1

3.1.4 Did your interest in this module’s field of study change?
Strongly inc. – Strongly dec.
Answers: 4
Mean: 2.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.7
3.1.5 Would you recommend taking this module to your best friend?

Yes – No

Answers: 4
Mean: 1.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

3.1.6 In relation to the number of credit points awarded, is the amount of work to be done justified?

Too high – Too low

Answers: 4
Mean: 2.2
Standard-Deviation: 0.4

3.2 How much time did you spend on this module every week, including lecture, exercises, exercise tasks...?

[0,3) hours 0%
[3,6) hours 25%
[6,8) hours 50%
[8,10) hours 25%
[10,12) hours 0%
[12,∞) hours 0%

4 Exercise Evaluation

4.1 Please rate the quality of the exercises that accompanied the lecture.

4.1.1 How often did you attend the exercise class?

Always – Never

Answers: 4
Mean: 2.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

4.1.2 Have the exercise sheets been available on time?

Always – Never

Answers: 4
Mean: 1.8
Standard-Deviation: 0.8

4.1.3 The difficulty of the exercise sheets varied...

Not at all – Greatly

Answers: 4
Mean: 3.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.9
4.1.4 Did the contents of the exercises match the current contents of the lecture?
Lecture far ahead – Lecture far behind
Answers: 4
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

4.1.5 Judge the size of your exercise group!
Too big – Too small
Answers: 4
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

4.1.6 Usually I thought the exercises were...
Too difficult – Very easy
Answers: 4
Mean: 3.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

5 Exercise Class Evaluation

5.1 Please rate the exercise class you visited.

5.1.1 Has the tutor been available for questions outside of the tutorial?
Always – Never
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

5.1.2 Could you understand your tutor’s corrections and gradings?
Always – Never
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0

5.1.3 Did the tutor manage to handle all the relevant content in the exercise class?
Always – Never
Answers: 4
Mean: 1.0
Standard-Deviation: 0.0
5.1.4 Would you recommend visiting this exercise class?

Yes – No

Answers: 4
Mean: 1.5
Standard-Deviation: 0.5

6 Comprehensive Rating

6.1 Please give an overall rating of the course on a scale from excellent (1) to very poor (6).

excellent (1) 25%
good (2) 75%
satisfactory (3) 0%
adequate (4) 0%
poor (5) 0%
very poor (6) 0%

7 Free Text Comments

7.1 Which aspects of the course did you like?

Exercise class from Dominik Klein was very helpful
A very interesting and research-oriented lecture

7.2 What could be improved?